

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/681,384	KAMIJO ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Aline D. McNaull	2872	

All Participants:

(1) Aline D. McNaull.

(2) Nicolas Seckel.

Status of Application: in condition for allowance

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 23 April 2007

Time: 5:15 pm EST

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

NA

Claims discussed:

8, 10

Prior art documents discussed:

NA


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Examiner contacted attorney to make minor changes to the Claims. In Claim 8, Examiner suggested including a unit following 0.05 and in Claim 10, Examiner wished to omit a space. No other changes were needed and the case is now in condition for allowance.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 Stephone B. Allen
 Supervisory Patent Examiner


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)